The Board of Huron County Commissioners met this date in Regular Session. Roll being called found the following members present: Terry Boose, Harry Brady and Bruce Wilde.

The following were also in attendance: Vickie Ziemba, Administrator/Clerk; Randal Strickler, Assistant Prosecutor; Cherise Crable, Clerical Assistant; Lee Tansey, Huron County Engineer; Roger Hunker, APEX; Patricia Didion, Citizen; Tom Dunlap, Citizen; Brad Mesenburg, Citizen; Shylee Greszler, Norwalk Ohio News.

24-242

# IN THE MATTER OF DECLARING AN INCREASE IN DOG AND KENNEL REGISTRATION FEES BEGINNING WITH THE 2025 RENEWAL SEASON

Bruce Wilde moved the adoption of the following resolution:

**WHEREAS,** Pursuant to R.C. 955.01, a board of county commissioners by resolution may increase dog and kennel registration fees in the county. The amount of the fees shall not exceed an amount that the board, in its discretion, estimates is needed to pay all expenses for the administration of this chapter; and

**WHEREAS,** such a resolution shall be adopted not earlier than the first day of February and not later than the thirty-first day of August of any year and shall specify the registration period or periods to which the increased fees apply;

and

**WHEREAS,** increases in fees shall be in the ratio of two dollars for each year of registration for a dog registration fee, twenty dollars for a permanent dog registration fee, and ten dollars for a kennel registration fee;

and

WHEREAS, the Huron County Auditor and Huron County Dog Warden recommend a \$4.00 increase per year for a dog registration fee, \$40 increase for a permanent dog registration fee, and \$20 increase for a kennel registration fee beginning with the 2025 dog license renewal and purchase period in order to offset the rising operational costs of the office and to maintain quality service to the citizens of Huron County; now therefore

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Huron County Commissioners recognizes the need and does hereby authorize the increase in the Dog and Kennel Registration fees effective December 1, 2024 beginning with the 2025 dog license renewal and purchase period as follows:

1 year Dog License Fees	\$22.00 per dog per ORC 955.14
3 year Dog License Fees	\$66.00 per dog per ORC 955.14
Permanent Dog License Fees	\$220.00 per dog per ORC 955.14
Kennel Fee	\$110.00 per ORC 955.14
OSU College of Veterinary Medicine	.10 cents 1-Yr., .30 cents 3-Yr., \$1.00-Permanent per
	ORC 955.14

and further

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Huron County Commissioners authorizes the assessment of penalties in an amount equal to the registration fee as defined in R.C. 955.05 after the thirty-first day of January of any year;

and further

**BE IT RESOLVED**, that the foregoing resolution was adopted and all actions and deliberations of the Board of Commissioners of the County of Huron, Ohio, relating thereto were conducted in meetings open to the public, in compliance with all applicable legal requirements, including Section 121.22 of the Ohio Revised Code.

Harry Brady seconded the motion.

\*<u>Discussion</u>: Mr. Boose asked Ms. Crable if she received the correction. Ms. Crable confirmed she did receive it. Mr. Boose explained Mr. Jasinski attended a meeting a couple weeks ago, they discussed he needed more money in his budget. The only question was how much would be enough? Ms. Ziemba and Mr. Jasinski did some calculations and numbers. Raising the rate \$4.00 was sufficient. Mr. Boose asked if the commissioners receive free veterinarian services from OSU. He commented that is \$0.10 per year, per dog. He said this is minor however, what do local dog fees have to do with OSU College of Veterinarian Medicine.

The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Terry Boose Aye – Harry Brady Aye – Bruce Wilde

Mr. Wilde had some comments about the Region 19 zoom meeting the commissioners attended yesterday. He commented there are seven counties. There were three commissioners from Huron County. Only two other commissioners attended. The one that is on the state board did not attend. Mr. Boose noted that is now two meetings in a row the state board representative did not attend. He brings this up so that Mr. Wilde and Mr. Brady can prepare themselves to get ready to be on this board. Mr. Brady said because it has been and will be on-going for years. Mr. Boose confirmed this board is called OneOhio Region 19. It is the opioid settlement money that from day one has been disastrous. This has been going on for about three years now. He said the commissioners have expended their money for Huron County. Region 19 went out for grant applications this year. Originally, it was for 102 bids however, they threw some of the applications out and it went down to 96 bids for the \$3.5 million dollars that we had to get out and the applications were for \$35 million dollars. Every time the commissioners turn around the state does not like what the commissioners are doing. Mr. Wilde said the girl who heads it up always says it is up to the commissioners how to apply for the money. Mr. Boose said when they tell her how they are going to do it, she says that the commissioners cannot do it this way. Her said it was supposed to be designed after the public works which has been working great for many years. They have people who are reviewing the 96 bids however they only want to review the ones for their county. The reviewers said they do not want to place comments because the commissioners will know who they are. Mr. Strickler commented the reviewers are on the board so they are supposed to be giving their opinion. Mr. Brady said one commissioner asked why the reviewers are afraid to put their comments. Mr. Boose said one of the reviewers has a conflict so he wants someone else from his county to rate the bid. Mr. Strickler confirmed this is not how it works. Mr. Wilde asked how many attendees were on the zoom meeting. Mr. Brady said about 13 or 14 attended. Mr. Boose said that 28 people should have attended. Mr. Boose asked Ms. Ziemba if she would like to be on the board. Ms. Ziemba passed. Mr. Brady said if they could just get the funds out to the organizations that can actually use it. Mr. Boose said he has been reviewing the applications. He is authorized to do so because he is a "power user." He can only look at them, he cannot rank them or place any comments. This is a rule from the state. Mr. Strickler asked what the point is for Mr. Boose to review them if he cannot rank them. Mr. Boose said their region board was good till the state got involved in it. Mr. Strickler said our commissioners helped get the OneOhio memorandum done. He said they helped organize Region 19 because the state gave no guidance on how to organize it in the beginning. After the commissioners and Mr. Strickler organized it the state told them they did it wrong. He questioned why in the first place the state did not tell the commissioners how to do it, instead of leaving them to their own devices.

### At 9:15 a.m. Public comment - None

# IN THE MATTER OF TRAVEL

Bruce Wilde moved to approve the following travel request this day. Harry Brady seconded the motion. The roll being called upon its adoption, the vote resulted as follows:

Aye – Terry Boose Aye – Harry Brady Aye – Bruce Wilde

Natalie White, Susan Heydinger and Jennifer Whyde, Job & Family Services. Toledo, OH, to the Northwest Ohio Regional Training Center for Children Services Training, August 22, 2024.

#### **SIGNINGS - None**

# 9:20 a.m. County project updates - Steve Minor, Buildings & Grounds Supervisor.

Mr. Minor said he did get the keys for 22 E. Main keys however, the keys that are still out are not protected and can be copied without permission. He said the lock was just changed but it houses the same key. After this was done NAC showed some concern because there are people who have keys that should not. Mr. Boose suggested explaining to NAC if there is a problem with other people coming in who are not supposed to have keys they will need to pay \$450 plus a fee for each new key requested. The commissioners just paid this and the if there was a problem with keys NAC should have thought of it before the lock was replaced.

**5**<sup>th</sup> floor of the Bank Building. Mr. Minor wanted information from the commissioners regarding the 5<sup>th</sup> floor of the bank building. He asked if he should continue to pursue quotes for fixing the door. One person has gotten back to him about moving the door for Adult Probation. Mr. Boose said he thinks the Court

assumes Mr. Minor will be completing this work. Mr. Minor said he also needs to get hard cost on flooring. Mr. Wilde said he thinks Mr. Minor should pursue this. Mr. Strickler asked if anyone has spoken to Judge Conway. Mr. Minor confirmed he has spoken to Judge Conway.

**Back entrance at the Office Building**. Mr. Minor has only received one estimate back. Mr. Boose said he thought the commissioners were not going to do anything until the new roof is put on. Mr. Minor thought that when they discussed the roof downtown they discussed including the back entrance because the back of it is a membrane roof. The roof must be fixed before anything else can be done. Mr. Boose commented the back entrance has all kinds of leaks and it looks horrible. The drywall needs to be replaced.

Mr. Minor must replace a loading dock garage type overhead door at the at 306 S. Norwalk. The cost will be \$8,126. to replace it. At this time it does not need to be closed however once the weather changes it will need to be fixed. Mr. Boose said the commissioners own the building so they must pay to fix it. He said there is only one group that uses that door. Mr. Minor confirmed that is Firelands Local. Mr. Boose asked Mr. Strickler to renegotiate their contract because they are the only group that uses this door. Mr. Boose asked if they use ODOT money and/or we should have ARPA money left from when they purchased the building because there was \$100,000 ARPA money set aside. Mr. Minor said he will get two more estimates. Mr. Boose reminded everyone it must be under contract before the end of the year. The commissioners would like to use ARPA money to pay for this.

Window at the Treasurer's Office. Mr. Minor received a quote of \$10,136 from Capitol for the Treasurers' window on the ledge. Koch decided to pass on the project. He asked the commissioners if he should move forward. Mr. Brady asked if Mr. Minor reached out to J & B Acoustical, Inc. Mr. Minor said he did however they called him back and asked if he could take pictures and measurements for them. Mr. Minor said they should do this so he took them off the list. The front of the building has some shutters missing, the soffit is gone and facial shingles need repair. Mr. Boose asked if this could be done all at once. Mr. Minor asked if this could be all one project.

Mr. Boose said it was brought to his attention by two commissioners that the awning above 22 E. Main St. should come down due to appearance. Mr. Minor said a tool can be used to unwind it and put it back out however then it would look even worse. He said the problem with removing it will be then some metal work will need to be done where the mounts were. Mr. Brady suggested recanvassing. Mr. Boose asked Ms. Ziemba if the commissioners do something to the front of a building in downtown Norwalk do they need permission from the City of Norwalk downtown revitalization. Ms. Ziemba stated usually yes. Mr. Boose asked Mr. Minor to speak with the City of Norwalk about the window replacement since it is changing a third of the building. Mr. Brady commented he is very happy the current Treasurer has continued to decorate the window.

**BMV/Title door**. Mr. Minor suggested getting Mr. Tkach and Ms. Hartman together to discuss this before he proceeds any further. Mr. Boose thought this bill was already paid. Mr. Minor said no this is to fix the hinges. He believes there is a difference of opinion as to whether to put a sliding door in or a stronger door like the one being replaced. He asked if the commissioners were paying for this. They all agreed they were not

**Downtown Office Building door**. Mr. Boose asked Mr. Minor if the commissioners were fixing the door. Mr. Minor has not received an estimate. The company came out Friday to measure and see what needs to be done

**New HVAC person is starting on Monday.** Mr. Boose wanted to make sure the new HVAC person will be involved with all the HVAC projects that are going on.

NCAT grant. Mr. Brady mentioned to Mr. Minor he attended the transit meeting yesterday for NCAT. The grant has been officially approved. They have signed the contract, next it goes out to ODOT who will put it out for bids. He said Ms. Habig is willing to use the county if the county has already done it. Mr. Brady noted he left a message for Mr. Welch also. He asked Mr. Minor if he or Mr. Welch could meet with Ms. Habig. Mr. Minor is going to her office today and will meet with her. He will get her email and send her everything he has received. Mr. Boose said he is very confused because NCAT has a very small area for floors and ceiling. He asked Mr. Minor if he was doing the whole building and if so how are they going to know what they are going to use the building for. He asked if they were doing the hallway. Mr. Minor replied yes and also the break room that will eventually be shared. He said things have changed. Mr. Boose said he realizes things have changed. He questioned now that they have the grant money how is it going to be used. Mr. Minor said he thinks the thought was to replace the entire ceiling. Mr. Boose asked why they would replace ceiling in an area where it is unknown how it will be used. Mr. Minor noted they would like the ceilings to match and be done all at one time. Mr. Brady thought the ceilings in the transit and hallway area should be done however, not the off rooms. Mr. Minor said the quotes can be revisited and altered. Mr. Boose asked Mr. Minor the quote price. Mr. Minor said it was \$85,000 for the ceiling. Mr.

Boose asked how much was the grant. Mr. Brady replied \$160,000 to \$170,000. Mr. Minor noted the flooring was the same area but it included removal and replacement quoted at \$65,000. He said the quote will be lower due to less area being updated. Mr. Boose mentioned one other suggestion if there is money left over the two major restrooms that are used. He is not suggesting tear everything out and replace it, he said they need to be updated a bit. Mr. Minor reminded everyone both restrooms have very nice flooring. Mr. Boose asked what the ceilings were like in these restrooms. Mr. Minor said they need to be repaired. Mr. Boose said they should start there. Mr. Brady said instead of doing the off rooms for the section of the building that is currently not being used, do the ceilings in the restrooms that are being used and if money left replace the stall dividers. He also said Mr. Habig had mentioned adding a restroom near the break area that they would pay for from their own funds.

**306 S. Norwalk chiller and boiler at 306.** Mr. Minor expects installation to start middle to end of July. Mr. Brady asked if both will be done at the same time. Mr. Minor believed so.

**Shady Lane dumpster.** Mr. Minor is waiting for the other summer temporary employee to return from Europe. He will then be ready to go.

**Veterans area of Shady Lane.** Mr. Boose said the Veterans have a bunch of stuff in the building. He asked who will contact them to discuss this. Mr. Minor said he has already gone over this with them. They would like the ninety wooden chairs and the cart that moves them in the back-porch area. He will check to see if they have taken them and we are clear with this matter. Mr. Strickler asked if anyone spoke to Mr. Beal about using the pool table at the Senior Enrichment Center. He asked Mr. Minor to revisit him and confirm if he would like it. Mr. Minor reminded everyone it will be very difficult to move.

Mr. Boose asked Mr. Minor to speak with Mr. Welch about the trees at Shady Lane. He said even though it will not be done till fall, line up a company to remove the trees. He also noted for the new commissioners removing trees must be done in the Fall because the endangered Indiana Bats migrate to another location in the Fall. Trees cannot be removed while the bats use them for their habitat.

9:40 a.m. <u>Jessica Walsh & Callie Chapman, Apex Clean Energy/Emerson Creek Project Update</u>. Ms. Walsh wanted to report updates mainly on the RUMA, there are a few different moving parts pertaining to this. She said they realize the road conditions are poor and they apologize for this. Last time she was at the commissioners meeting they had just received the draft however they have discussed changes with the consultant to get the draft finalized. There are two parts to the report. The existing conditions of the roads which they did when they took the coring samples a few months back. They do have this report ready to send over, but then they need a couple more weeks to finalize their recommendations of what these upgrades look like. When it comes in she would like to sit-down with Mr. Tansey or Mr. Green or whomever because they know the roads. She would like their opinions. Mr. Tansey said they would need to see and review the draft and documents first. Mr. Wilde asked if there is a sit-down he would like to attend. Mr. Strickler also would like to attend. Ms. Walsh confirmed she will invite them. She said she did receive Mr. Green's comments on the draft a couple weeks ago. She also received Mr. Green's responses to the letter and folded these updates into the draft. She also received from Mr. Green the third-party consultant scoring. Mr. Green suggested TRC as his top runner. Ms. Walsh said Mr. Kennedy are reviewing and they will get their feedback to Mr. Green before the end of this week. Mr. Wilde asked Ms. Walsh if they are on schedule as was discussed in the April meeting because hitting those dates is important. Mr. Tansey confirmed they are three weeks behind schedule. He said the engineering part is done. Ms. Walsh confirmed they are running behind and asked if there were any questions on RUMA. Mr. Wilde asked what is the hold up. Mr. Tansey thinks it is the consultant for the RUMA stuff holding things up. The RUMA cannot be done till the consultant is done. The critical path right now is the consultant selection. Mr. Strickler said they just received the latest draft of the RUMA Tuesday afternoon. Mr. Brady asked how long Mr. Kennedy will take to review. Ms. Walsh said before the end of tomorrow they will let everyone know who they think would be best from their perspective. Mr. Wilde said then it comes back to the commissioners. Mr. Walsh confirmed yes and next they will need the cost proposals such as Mr. Tansey mentioned to finalize. Mr. Tansey said all four consultants were selected, none were thrown out. They did not look at the name of the company. They reviewed their package and they ranked according to their qualifications, regardless of who they are. His team suggested TRC, which is their opinion based on qualifications. However, in speaking with them at the fourth meeting they want to talk to them about price. Mr. Tansey said legally engineer professionalism is based on qualifications, not price. He did confirm to Ms. Walsh it does not necessarily need to be the one that his team picked. Mr. Boose thanked Ms. Walsh for giving a two week heads up for when they would like to come in for future board meetings.

## **OLD BUSINESS / NEW BUSINESS**

# Administrator/Clerk update

Ms. Ziemba said she has spoken to the Sheriff Corbin because he has been having issues with the jail trash compactor. She needs to confirm who is responsible for the repairs. She researched where the trash

compactor came from. It was utilized bond money from when the commissioners built the building. It was brought in at the same time. Mr. Boose said the answer is Permanent Improvement Jail. That is the reason they put it in there. They realized the jail needed one. He does realize it is used for other purposes as well, but it would not be there unless the commissioners built a jail and they needed it at that time. Ms. Ziemba confirmed everyone agreed that it is the jail's responsibility to pay it from the Permanent Improvement Jail fund. Ms. Ziemba said this needed to be confirmed so that if something goes down they know who to call, Sheriff Corbin or Mr. Welch. Mr. Boose said they should call Mr. Zurcher at the Sheriff's office. Ms. Ziemba said she will contact Sheriff Corbin today to let him know.

Mr. Boose said he had told everyone on Tuesday he would call Ms. Cardone. Ms. Cardone said she still needs to do some training before she can get in to rank. She did confirm she will do this training.

#### **Commissioner Wilde update**

He attended the zoom OneOhio/Region 19 meeting. He will contact Ms. Cardone because he would like to train together. He would like to begin this process next week and complete it. Mr. Wilde confirmed the Wakeman Parade this year was on Saturday, July 27<sup>th</sup>, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. Mr. Boose called attention to the fact that it used to be the last Sunday of July every year.

#### **Commissioner Brady update**

Mr. Brady stated it has been an ongoing issue with the \$22,000 that has been given to the Sheriff's Department every year. Mr. Brady and Sheriff Corbin attended the 911-EMA meeting. Mr. Brady specifically said the commissioners and the Sheriff need to sit down and figure out where it comes from and what is the purpose of it now, not twenty years ago. Mr. Brady said he would like to discuss information and numbers. He does not want the Townships and/or Municipalities/Villages getting billed any longer. Mr. Brady said after this discussion an email went out which was a bill from the Sheriff's Department for New London. Mr. Brady said Mr. Pickworth was taken back by this email and called him. Mr. Brady has asked numerous times for a meeting with the Sheriff. He made a phone call a couple weeks ago separately to the Auditor and the Sheriff. He requested the numbers for dispatch last year and how much money was paid out and were they above or below the budgeted amount. Mr. Brady also would like to know what was paid out in special funds. This will help to know if in fact the \$22,000 needs to be sent to the Sheriff to make up for any short-fall. During the Sheriff's call, Mr. Brady asked for the total hours worked by the dispatchers last year, the total amount paid-out in not just wages but the entire package. The commissioners want to make sure the Sheriff's budget is whole going forward. Mr. Brady explained the county has said the commissioners will start to cover this \$22,000 or whatever cost it will be going forward. This is the reason for requesting the information. Mr. Brady confirmed the Auditor did call him back within a couple days confirming he will get the numbers together, however, he did not receive a return call from the Sheriff. He said yesterday he sat down for approximately an hour with the Auditor. The Auditor stated the dispatchers cost approximately \$1,700 per day. He did not have a break-down of the exact amount paid out to the dispatchers because they are paid from the same line items salary that were paid out to road deputies and civil service. Mr. Boose stated the numbers needed, in his opinion, would be how much was paid out of the policing fund and how much was paid out of the general fund. Mr. Brady confirmed that is correct. Mr. Boose questioned why the Auditor, who is in charge of the payroll system, could not give Mr. Brady detailed payroll information. Mr. Boose thought this was wrong. He stated every year he requests Ms. Stebel for the detailed payroll report for the Sheriff's office and for the jail. Mr. Brady said the Auditor explained the only way to gather the numbers would be to have a meeting with the Sheriff. While Mr. Brady was still in the meeting with the Auditor he called the Sheriff's office. Sheriff Corbin was not available thus, again Mr. Brady left a message. Mr. Brady's concern is why is the \$22,000 necessary and can it be avoided in the future because the receipts for the next set of EMA 911 levy will be less than currently. He stated Sheriff Corbin did not return the call yet.

The Auditor also suggested that his department, the prosecutor department and the commissioners have a meeting to discuss exactly what the 911 levy money can or cannot be used for. Mr. Brady said that is why Mr. Strickler's name was invoked. Mr. Boose reminded everyone the levy does not pay for everything. He said the commissioners are in control of the budget. The commissioners say how the money is spent based on recommendations from the committee. The committee has no authority, they make recommendations on how to spend the money for the levy. Mr. Brady said that is not the question. The question is what legally can the levy money be used for. Mr. Strickler said he will review the statutes. He reminded everyone this is a dual-purpose levy. It is the 911 system and the county-wide public safety communication system. Mr. Boose said he wants everyone to understand the commissioners do not have enough money to pay for everything. Mr. Strickler said he understands however, he is going to look at the statutes and determine what the money can be used for. He stated then they will have an outline of permissible uses. The commissioners will then allocate how the levy money will be used. Mr. Boose said his concern is people think either through the levy money or by the commissioners must pay for everything everyone wants communication related and 911 related. There is no law that says, just because everyone wants several things, the commissioners must pay for it. Mr. Strickler explained it is in the commissioners' sound discretion what to use the money for. He said he will come up with a list of permissible uses and then this

board in its sound discretion can determine how the money will be spent. He confirmed this is the commissioners' money and it can only be spent for the purposes of the 911 system and county-wide public communication system. Mr. Strickler said he has explained to Sheriff Corbin there is a legal issue and a practical issue. He stated the legal issue is with the combined levy for the 911 system and the county-wide public communication system, in the practical manner, mechanically they are the same system because they consist of radios. He said as everyone knows the 911 system is for people to call for emergency help from police, fire and EMS. The county-wide public safety communication system is for the police, file and EMS employees to be able to communicate with each other on the same communication equipment. He continued mechanically this communication is the same system but legally they are two different things. Mr. Boose cautioned the committee is only advisory and each member has their own needs and wants. He confirmed the levy is for both purposes however it must be determined how to do a budget and plan for the life of the levy. Mr. Brady thought the committee was set up to determine what were the permissible uses for the levy and what is allowed for expenditures. Mr. Boose said that was incorrect. Mr. Wilde said he is on the committee and he has only had one meeting and there have been none scheduled since. He stated he could not attend that first meeting, Mr. Boose went in his place. Mr. Boose said in the meeting there was a discussion to purchase radios. Mr. Brady said he would like to see a permissible list. Mr. Boose stated no list exist. Mr. Strickler said he will make a permissible list. Mr. Boose stated he was at the meeting and it had nothing to do with what the money can or cannot be used for. Mr. Brady said there was a TAC committee meeting that Chief Reiderman said they need to know what they can or cannot use the money for. He commented the money must be spent within the confines of what the ORC states can be done with it. Mr. Boose said the committee was not asking the commissioners what were the permissible uses of the money, they were asking the commissioners what the committee wanted the money to pay for. Mr. Boose said the reason this topic came up was because a question was asked who will pay for maintaining the radios and to buy new radios that need to be added to a department. He said the question was not "can we?" the question to the commissioners was, "is that how the commissioners would like to use the money." Mr. Brady suggested to Mr. Boose he should speak to the Sheriff to figure out where that money is going and why it is going.

**At 10:13 a.m.** Bruce Wilde moved to adjourn. Harry Brady seconded the motion. The meeting stood adjourned.

### IN THE MATTER OF CERTIFICATION

The Clerk to the Board does hereby attest that the foregoing is a true and correct record of all actions taken by the Board of Huron County Commissioners on July 18, 2024.

## IN THE MATTER OF ADJOURNING

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. With no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:13 a.m.

Signatures on file

The following bids for the Section Line 30 Bridge Replacement Project were opened on July 19, 2024 at 9:00 a.m.:

	Section Line 30 Road Bridge Rej Bid Opening: Frid	placement HUR CR-03 lay, July 19, 2024 9:00		113806							
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	UNIT COST	QUANTITY	UNIT	TOTAL COST	R&I Construction, Inc.		Fechko Excavating, LLC.			
	ROADWAY										
201	CLEARING AND GRUBBING, AS PER PLAN		1	LUMP	\$1,000	2,000.00	\$	2,000.00	16,000.00	\$	16,000.00
203	SPECIAL - EARTHWORK		1	LUMP	\$9,000	45,000.00	\$	45,000.00	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00
606	SPECIAL - GUARDRAIL		1 DADWAY SUE	LUMP	\$25,000	63,000.00	\$	63,000.00	77,000.00	\$	77,000.00
			\$	110,000.00		\$	123,000.00				
	EROSION CONTROL										
832	SPECIAL - CONSTRUCTION EROSION CONTROL	\$ 1.00	1,000	EACH	\$1,000	1.00		1,000.00		\$	1,000.00
		EROSION C	ONTROL SUE	TOTAL =	\$1,000		\$	1,000.00		\$	1,000.00
	DRAINAGE				*****					_	
611	SPECIAL - DRAINAGE	DE	AINAGE SUE	LUMP	\$5,000 \$5,000	20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	24,000.00	\$	24,000.00
	P. M. ELLEN		\$	20,000.00		\$	24,000.00				
304	PAVEMENT  SPECIAL - AGGREGATE BASE (INCLUDING STABLIZED CRUSHED AGGREGATE)		1 1	LUMP	\$15,000	20,000.00	s	20,000.00	18,000.00	\$	18,000.00
441	SPECIAL - RESIDE PAVEMENT (INCLUDING STABLIZED CRUSHED AGGREGATE)			LUMP	\$65,000	65,000.00	\$	65,000.00		_	72,000.00
441	SPECIAL - FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT (INCLUDING WEARING GOURSE REMOVED)	DA	VEMENT SUE			65,000.00	\$			\$	
	TRAFFIC CONTROL	FA	VEMENT SUE	HOTAL -	\$80,000		\$	85,000.00		•	90,000.00
630	SPECIAL - SIGNS AND SUPPORTS		1 1	LUMP	\$1,000	500.00	s	500.00	1,000.00		1.000.00
642	SPECIAL - PAVEMENT MARKING		1	LUMP	\$1,000	2.000.00	ě	2.000.00	2,500.00		2,500.00
	an ago a - 1711 amait i mentento	TRAFFIC C	ONTROL SUE		\$2,000	2,000.00	Š	2,500.00		Š	3,500.00
	STRUCTURES OVER 20 FEE				42,000		Ť	2,000.00		Ť	-,
202	STRUCTURE REMOVED, OVER 20 FOOT SPAN		1	LUMP	\$50,000	30,000.00	ŝ	30,000.00	58,000.00	\$	58,000.00
530	SPECIAL - STRUCTURE FOUNDATION		1	LUMP	\$350,000	200,000.00		200,000.00		\$	60,000.00
530	SPECIAL - SUPERSTRUCTURE		1	LUMP	\$400,000	264,399.00	\$	264,399.00	180,000.00	\$	180,000.00
530	SPECIAL - MISCELLANEOUS STRUCTURE FOR DESIGN BUILD		1	LUMP	\$10,000	100.00	\$	100.00	84,000.00	\$	84,000.00
			\$	494,499.00		\$	382,000.00				
	ENGINEERING AND ROADWAY SER	RVICES									
107	SPECIAL - UTILITY COORDINATION		1	LUMP	\$1,000	1,000.00		1,000.00	\$ 1,500.00		1,500.00
690	SPECIAL - AS-BUILT CONSTRUCTION PLANS		1	LUMP	\$8,000	1,500.00		1,500.00		\$	2,500.00
690	SPECIAL - FIELD SURVEYS		1	LUMP	\$8,000	9,000.00		9,000.00	\$ 19,895.00		19,895.00
690	SPECIAL - PRELIMINARY PLANS FOR DESIGN-BUILD		1	LUMP	\$35,000	35,000.00		35,000.00	\$ 28,000.00		28,000.00
690	SPECIAL - FINAL PLANS FOR DESIGN-BUILD		1	LUMP	\$25,000	24,000.00		24,000.00	\$ 42,000.00		42,000.00
690	SPECIAL - CONSTRUCTION PLANS		1	LUMP	\$10,000	5,000.00	\$	5,000.00		\$	3,000.00
_	ENGINEERING AND MISCELLANEOUS	ROADWAY SERVICE	SSUBTOTAL	•	\$87,000		\$	75,500.00		\$	96,895.00
100	SPECIAL - PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE		1 1	LUMP	\$5,000	1.00	S	1.00	1,000.00	Ś	1,000.00
103	BOND		1	LUMP		13.000.00		13.000.00		\$	20.000.00
614	BOND SPECIAL - MAINTAINING TRAFFIC		1	LUMP	\$10,000 \$10,000		-			•	10.000.00
623	SPECIAL - CONSTRUCTION LAYOUT STAKES AND SURVEYING		1	LUMP	\$5,000	7,500.00 5.000.00		7,500.00 5.000.00	10,000.00 5.000.00		5.000.00
624	MOBILIZATION		1	LUMP	\$40,000	40.000.00		40.000.00		\$	132,000.00
SPEC.	LOAD RATINGS ANALYSIS		1	LUMP	\$10,000	1.000.00		1,000.00	\$ 3,000.00		3,000.00
areu.	construction renefala	MISCELL	ANEOUS SUE		\$80,000	1,000.00	\$	66,501.00			171.000.00
		miyottt			400,000		•	50,551.00		•	,000.00
			PROJECT	TOTAL =	\$1,100,000		s	855,000.00		s	891,395.00

The following bids for the Butler Road Resurfacing Project were opened on July 19, 2024 at 9:05 a.m.:

Butler Road Resurfacing Bid Opening: Friday, July 19, 2024 9:05 a.m.															
Ref.	Item	Description	Quant.	Unit	Unit Cost		otal Cost	Erie Blacktop, Inc.			A.J	Precision Paving, Inc.			
1	103.5	Premium Contract Bond	1	LS	\$ 3,000.00	\$	3,000.00	\$ 1,000.00 \$ 1		1,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,500.00 \$		1,500.00
2	202	Signs Removed & Stockpiled for Pick-up, as per plan	7	EA	\$ 100.00	\$	700.00	\$ 36.75	\$	257.25	\$ 50.00	\$ 350.00	\$ 110.0	0 \$	770.00
3	202	Rumble Strips Removed, as per plan	7	EA	\$ 500.00	s	3,500.00	\$ 220.00	s	1,540.00	\$ 200.00	\$ 1,400.00	\$ 400.0	0 \$	2,800.00
		Pavement Planning, Butt Joints										, , , , , ,			,
4	254	(0" - 1 1/2"), as per plan	2	EA	\$ 1,000.00	\$	2,000.00	\$ 1,250.00	\$	2,500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,200.0	0 \$	2,400.00
5	407	Tack Coat @ 0.1 Gal/SY, non-tracking	1,500	GAL	\$ 3.50	\$	5,250.00	\$ 2.60	\$	3,900.00	\$ 4.00	\$ 6,000.00	\$ 4.0	0 \$	6,000.00
6	441	Asphalt Conc., Level/Scratch, Type 1, Variable, PG64-22, as per plan	30	CY	\$ 300.00	\$	9,000.00	\$ 212.00	\$	6,360.00	\$ 240.00	\$ 7,200.00	\$ 235.0	0 \$	7,050.00
7	441	Asphalt Conc., Surface Course, Type 1, 1 1/2" Avg., PG64-22, as per plan	540	CY	\$ 195.00	\$ 10	05,300.00	\$ 212.00	\$	114,480.00	\$ 205.00	\$ 110,700.00	\$ 216.0	0 \$	116,640.00
8	614	Maintaining Traffic	1	LS	\$ 8,000.00	\$	8,000.00	\$ 7,000.00	\$	7,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 7,000.0	0 \$	7,000.00
9	617	Stabilized Crushed Agg. (Berm & Drives), as per pla	150	TN	\$ 70.00	\$ :	10,500.00	\$ 56.00	\$	8,400.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 75.0	0 \$	11,250.00
10	624	Mobilization	1	LS	\$ 10,000.00	\$ :	10,000.00	\$ 3,000.00	\$	3,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 2,500.0	0 \$	2,500.00
11	630	Ground Mounted Support, Square Posts, 2", as per plan	160	FT	\$ 15.00	\$	2,400.00	\$ 16.08	\$	2,572.80	\$ 18.00	\$ 2,880.00	\$ 13.0	0 \$	2,080.00
12	630	Ground Mounted Support, Square Posts, 4ft stubs, (2 1/4"), as per plan	16	EA	\$ 50.00	e	800.00	\$ 120.75		1,932.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 28.0	0 5	448.00
13		Sign, Flat Sheet (Stop Ahead) Symbol 48"	8	EA	\$ 400.00	Ś	3.200.00	\$ 247.00	Ś		\$ 250.00		\$ 373.0		2.984.00
14	630	Sign Posts Reflectors (48")	16	EA	\$ 50.00	\$	800.00	\$ 47.25	\$	756.00	\$ 50.00	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0 \$	768.00
15	642	Center Line	1.1	Mile	\$ 1,500.00	\$	1,650.00	\$ 945.00	\$	1,039.50	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 1,100.00	\$ 1,700.0	0 \$	1,870.00
16	642	Edge Line	2.2	Mile	\$ 1,000.00	\$	2,200.00	\$ 840.00	\$	1,848.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 2,200.00	\$ 850.0	0 \$	1,870.00
17	644	Stop Bar, 24" (at US 20)	15	FT	\$ 50.00	\$	750.00	\$ 21.00	\$	315.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 300.00	\$ 26.0	0 \$	390.00
18	Spec.	Rumble Strips, as per plan (3 at SR 303)	1	LS	\$ 7,500.00	\$	7,500.00	\$ 5,250.00	\$	5,250.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 8,000.00	\$ 5,500.0	0 \$	5,500.00
19	Spec.	Contingencies	1	LS	\$ 3,450.00	\$	3,450.00	\$ 3,450.00	\$	3,450.00	\$ 3,450.00	\$ 3,450.00	\$ 3,450.0	0 \$	3,450.00
							0,000.00		\$	167,576.55		\$ 172,630.00		\$	177,270.00